ĺ			
1	Stephen M. Dichter, 004043		
2	sdichter@cdslawfirm.com Jill Ann Herman, 020180		
3	jherman@cdslawfirm.com Iulia A. Taranu, 034458		
4	<u>itaranu@cdslawfirm.com</u> CHRISTIAN DICHTER & SLUGA, P.C.		
5	2800 North Central Avenue, Suite 860		
6	Phoenix, Arizona 85004 Telephone: (602) 792-1700		
7	Facsimile: (602) 792-1710		
8	Attorneys for Defendant David Harbour		
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA		
11			
12	United States of American,	Case No. 2:19-cr-00898-DLR (DMF)	
13	Plaintiff,	RESPONSE TO GOVERNMENT	
14	VS.	MOTION TO CONTINUE EVIDENTIARY HEARING	
15	David Allen Harbour,		
16	Defendant.		
17	Defendant David A. Harbour, by and through undersigned counsel, responds to the		
18	government's request to continue the evidentiary hearing.		
19	First, to be sure, we do not oppose counsel's request. Comity amongst		
20			
21	professionals opposing each other on behalf of their respective clients remains one of the		
22	singularly most appealing aspects of this profession. Trips to anywhere since the advent		
23	of our current living circumstances are rare enough and a trip out of the Country is rarer		
24	still.		
25			
26	I wanted to explain my own circumstances. The trial I was supposed to start in San		
27	Miquel County, New Mexico on April 18th was continued <i>sua sponte</i> by the Trial Judge		
28			

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	,
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

28

because a Second Degree Murder trial expected to plead out did not and, of course, the criminal trial took precedence. Judge Aragon continued our case until Monday, May 2nd. I am leaving Phoenix for the trial on Friday, April 29th. Meanwhile, since a principal trial witness for both sides cannot attend the trial, given the new trial date, we are deposing him *de bene esse* in Albuquerque on Monday, April 18.

This explains our need to get our evidentiary hearing completed on the 26th, 27th, or 28th of April if at all possible. Otherwise, I am not available until the week of May 9th and that assumes the Court would be available that week.

Further, given the time constraints explained above and my limited availability to my client to assist him in preparing for the evidentiary hearing, I would add that it would be helpful to both sides were the Court were to provide some advance notice of the subjects on which the Court wants to hear evidence.

As an example, the Defense has taken the position that the government does not get any review of the Magistrate Judge's findings re witness tampering. Does the Court want to take evidence on this issue or not? With each side limited to two hours, time-management will be exceptionally important. Perhaps the Court might address this subject with counsel during the April 19, 2022 telephonic status conference (for which the Defendant's appearance was permitted to be waived).

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this <u>14th</u> day of April 2022.

CHRISTIAN DICHTER & SLUGA, P.C.

By: /s/ Stephen M. Dichter
Stephen M. Dichter
Jill Ann Herman
Iulia A. Taranu

2800 North Central Avenue, Suite 860 Phoenix, Arizona 85004 Attorneys for Defendant David A. Harbour **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** I hereby certify that on April 14, 2022 I electronically transmitted the attached document to the Clerk's Office using the CM/ECF system for filing and for transmittal of Notice of Electronic Filing to the following CM/ECF registrants: Kevin M. Rapp Kevin.rapp@usdoj.gov Coleen Schoch Coleen.schoch@usdoj.gov U.S. Attorney's Office 40 N. Central Avenue, Suite 1800 Phoenix, Arizona 85004 Attorney for Plaintiff /s/ Yvonne Canez